UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Case No. 09-50026-mgChapter 11

IN RE: Chapter 11

.

MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, . (Jointly administered)

et al., f/k/a GENERAL

MOTORS CORP., et al, . One Bowling Green . New York, NY 10004

Debtors. .

. Thursday, April 12, 2018

. 3:05 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION TO AUTHORIZE BY GENERAL MOTORS LLC
TO ENFORCE THE BANKRUPTCY COURT'S SALE ORDER AND
COURT-APPROVED DEFERRED TERMINATION (WIND-DOWN)
AGREEMENT WITH RESPECT TO PAT BOMBARD
BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For General Motors LLC: King & Spalding, LLP

By: ARTHUR J. STEINBERG, ESQ.

SCOTT DAVIDSON, ESQ. 1185 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036 (212) 556-2158

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For Pat Bombard: PAT BOMBARD (Pro Se)

5 Wheeler Avenue

Fayetteville, New York 13066

(315) 382-9464

Audio Operator: Jonathan, ECRO

Transcription Company: Access Transcripts, LLC

10110 Youngwood Lane Fishers, IN 46038 (855) 873-2223

www.accesstranscripts.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

(Proceedings commenced at 3:05 p.m.)

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

20

21

25

THE COURT: All right, please be seated. We're here in Motors Liquidation Company, 09-50026. This is the motion -new GM, General Motors, LLC motion to enforce the sale motion 5 with respect to Pat Bombard. May I have the appearances, 6 please, first in the courtroom.

MR. STEINBERG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Arthur Steinberg and Scott Davidson from King & Spalding on behalf of New General Motors.

THE COURT: Thank you.

On telephone, Mr. Bombard? Mr. Bombard, are you on 12 the phone?

13 MR. BOMBARD: (Telephonically) Yes, I am, Your 14 Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

MR. BOMBARD: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. This is the date and time set 18∥ for the resumption of the hearing on New GM's motion to enforce 19 the sale order. We had prior hearing on March 29th, 2018. At that time, based on statements by Mr. Bombard at the hearing, the Court directed that additional papers be filed by both Mr. Bombard and by New GM, and those papers were filed. So the Court has reviewed both the supplemental papers filed by Mr. Bombard and also the supplemental papers filed by New GM.

Mr. Steinberg, why don't you start.

3

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

MR. STEINBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, 2 \parallel the two questions that you asked to address in the supplemental papers to complete the record were what were the payments made $4 \parallel$ by New GM pursuant to the wind-down agreement, and the second 5 was whether the Bombard Car companies timely performed the 6 Bombard letter of intent. And we submitted the declaration of Marlin Gilliam from General Motors to address specifically both of those questions. And with regard to the wind-down agreement, Mr. Gilliam declared that New GM had paid 25 percent of the wind-down amount, which was --

> THE COURT: That's the 32,000, uh-huh.

MR. STEINBERG: -- \$32,040.75, and not paid the $13\parallel$ balance of it and had not gotten, according to its record, the \$32,040.75 returned to it. The balance of \$96,122.25 has not been paid to Mr. Bombard for the reasons explained in the March 5 and 7, 2016 emails, which were attached to our papers, which there were two additional documents under the wind-down agreement that Mr. Bombard had to sign. One was the post-termination notification, which dealt with -- was needed for tax plans. And the second was the supplemental wind-down agreement, and neither had been signed. And Mr. Bombard was told that once those documents were submitted, he would get the balance. Instead, we got sued.

The second question about the performance under the 25∥Bombard letter of intent was they had 60 days to do certain

9

10

11

13

14

20

21

25

4

things. The two things that he did not do was to return the $2 \parallel$ money and to provide evidence of financing. And the Gilliam declaration provided the snapshots from GM's books and records, which show that according to its records, after the termination $5\parallel$ of the letter of intent, after the October 31, 2010 termination $6 \parallel$ of the wind-down agreement, those two things were still outstanding. And we submitted the letters that we had sent to Mr. Bombard in November of 2010, after the dealer agreement had terminated, saying that the letter of intent doesn't expire and you hadn't performed and specifically said what hadn't been performed. And none of Mr. Bombard's declaration addressed the infirmities of the performance of the LOI or frankly addressed and evidenced any payment to GM of the \$32,040.75.

Your Honor gave us a lot of time at the prior 15 | hearing, so I'm prepared to rest on our papers with regard to why we believe the unsigned participation agreement is not effective and the operative agreement is the wind-down agreement. We referenced the expired business certificate as of April 30, 2010, the statements Mr. Bombard made in his bankruptcy proceeding that he filed in 2013 that said that the Bombard Company was an inactive franchise, closed, no longer operating and dormant. Your Honor was made aware at the last hearing that there was an April 23 DMV hearing on this, and Your Honor had indicated that he would rule before that period of time.

2

3

9

10

11

18

21

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Steinberg.

Mr. Bombard, go ahead. It's your turn.

MR. BOMBARD: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. I'd like to, first of all, state that General Motors has raced to the 5 courthouse in order to create more difficulties and delays when 6 they were well aware in October of 2017 of the Department of Motor Vehicle hearing. At the time, General Motors requested that I perform and present all the documents. They were presented in a timely fashion, and they met all the requirements.

Also, their letter -- and I've never spoken to this 12∥Mr. Gilliam, and I've never had the ability to have any conversations with him. I state that the own testimony of this gentleman states that I am an authorized General Motors dealer, and that is Exhibit Number 17, which is a General Motors Corporation Dealer Sales and Service Agreement, and it's signed by General Motors, and it talks about that I am a dealer.

I also want to again let the Court know that I 19 presented to General Motors on October a letter requesting 20 mediation, which they ignored. We then presented to the New York State Department of Motor Vehicle on December 19th under the Dealer Franchise Act, Article 17A, that we would like to be heard there. The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles accepted us and clearly prove that we are a dealer and we have 25 rights, and we clearly have, in exhibits, a letter from the

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

18

20

21

25

State of New York dated -- I'm sorry, it doesn't have a date on $2 \parallel$ it, but it says that the Safety Bureau Hearing, the Bureau notice of hearing, Pat J. Bombard, Bombard Company, against General Motors/Chevrolet franchise, April 23rd, 2018 at 9 a.m., a hearing in Syracuse, New York.

The requirements that the Court asked that I provided were more than one thing, and I did provide the Court insurance, licensing. And at that time, everything was renewed and clearly operating as a GM dealer, as a Chevrolet dealer, more importantly, as an authorized dealer in New York State with the Department of Motor Vehicle.

THE COURT: Mr. Bombard, at the last hearing, you had indicated that you had repaid the \$32,000 to New GM. We had a discussion about it at the last hearing, and I specifically asked if you had any evidence to show that you had repaid that. I went through your papers carefully that you submitted, and I find no reference whatsoever to repaying the \$32,000.

MR. BOMBARD: Judge, I -- under Exhibit 8, there is a 19 copy of a check marked "void" and proof of the money that was taken out of my retirement in a bank deposit slip with KeyBank. General Motors, since the day I started in 1992, operates under an open account, where they had the ability to pay me and I had the ability to pay them weekly, monthly, and quarterly for parts, cars, tools, invoices, and at that time, that's how we did business.

```
THE COURT: Mr. Bombard --
 1
 2
             MR. BOMBARD: There's never been a check -- yes?
 3
             THE COURT: Mr. Bombard, please tell me --
             MR. BOMBARD: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: -- precisely which exhibit you believe
  shows that you repaid the $32,000. I have your papers in front
 6
          I want to look specifically at what you're pointing at.
 8
             MR. BOMBARD: At Number 8, it has a copy of a blank,
 9
   void check, which was how electronically --
             THE COURT: A blank, void --
10
11
             MR. BOMBARD: -- transferred money --
12
             THE COURT: Mr. Bombard, a blank, void check --
13
             MR. BOMBARD: Yes.
             THE COURT: -- undated is not evidence of anything.
14
15 Do you have any evidence of a check or wire transfer or any
   form of payment that you made to New GM of the $32,000? Giving
   me an entirely blank, void -- an entirely blank check, number
  22531, undated, no amount, no payee, handwritten across it is
19\parallel the word "void" is not evidence of a payment to New GM. So
   where -- do you have -- I looked through your exhibits, and I
20
   find nothing in the exhibits. Because this was something when
21
   we specifically discussed at the last hearing, you told me that
23 you were able to provide evidence that you had made the
   payment. New GM has put in evidence that they never received
```

any payment, and you haven't provided any evidence that you did

7

make the payment. Is there an exhibit among what you provided that you believe shows that you made -- that you repaid the \$32,000 and when you did it?

MR. BOMBARD: Your Honor, I believe that the copy of the 2010 \$38,866.30 and the deposit slip to KeyBank, which is electronically transferred to GM --

THE COURT: Which exhibit --

MR. BOMBARD: -- with other money that was in my open account.

THE COURT: Which --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

MR. BOMBARD: And that's all I have at the present, 12 Your Honor.

THE COURT: Which exhibit?

MR. BOMBARD: I requested -- Number 8.

THE COURT: Hold on, let me look again. What I have 16 as your Exhibit 8 does not include any documents from KeyBank whatsoever.

Mr. Steinberg, do you have it? Why don't you bring 19∥it up, show me. It's not included in the papers that I've been provided, least I don't think so. The only thing that I -just a second. The only thing -- just to make clear, the second page of this exhibit is a Form 1099-R. Is that what you're going to show me, Mr. Steinberg?

MR. STEINBERG: Yes, and then a deposit slip.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me -- I see what

you're referring to. Let me look at that. Did you ask the $2 \parallel$ bank, Mr. Bombard, for any evidence that they electronically 3 transferred a little money to New GM?

MR. BOMBARD: Your Honor, I did, and I'm still 5 waiting for it to return. They're in Cleveland, Ohio, and 6 unfortunately, I have not received it back. But I'd like to say that GM has an open account that has statements that were produced weekly and monthly that they did not provide to the Court. The one statement saying that they didn't receive it when there was many, many things that had to be taken care of is proof.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else you want to 13 indicate, Mr. Bombard?

MR. BOMBARD: No, Your Honor, not at this time.

THE COURT: Mr. Steinberg, you want to respond?

MR. STEINBERG: Yes, just very briefly, Your Honor.

On that check and that 1099 statement, you'll note that the check has a -- has numbers on the bottom of the void check,

19 which is the Bombard Car Company check.

4

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

20

21

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. STEINBERG: So it has 149 on one, and if you look at the deposit slip, it's being deposited into a different account, not the Bombard Car Company account, presumably. It's account numbers ends in 8439. The other thing is when 25∥ Mr. Bombard report to -- that he said he -- that we recognize

```
that he was a valid dealer and he referenced Tab 17, Tab 17 is
2 a 2005 agreement with Old GM.
 3
             THE COURT: Yes, thank you.
             All right. I'm going to take the matter under
 4
5 submission. I understand the importance of getting this
 6 decided quickly, and I expect probably tomorrow, I'll enter a
 7
   decision.
 8
             MR. STEINBERG: Thank you.
 9
             THE COURT: Thank you very much. We're adjourned.
             MR. BOMBARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
11
        (Proceedings concluded at 3:20 p.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I, Alicia Jarrett, court-approved transcriber, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

ALICIA JARRETT / AAERT NO. 428

DATE: May 10, 2018

ACCESS TRANSCRIPTS, LLC

